arXiv:0710.0144v2 [math.NT] 12 Oct 2007

TWO DIGIT THEOREMS

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

Abstract. We prove that if p is a prime with a primitive root 2 then $S_{p,0}(2^p) = p$ and give a sufficient condition for an equality of kind $S_{p,0}(2^p) = \pm p$.

1. Introduction

Denote for $x, m, l \in \mathbb{N}, \;\; l \in [0, m-1]$,

$$S_m(x) = S_{m,0}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq n < x, \; n \equiv 0 (mod m)} (-1)^{\sigma(n)},$$

where $\sigma(n)$ is the number of 1's in the binary expansion of n.

Let D be subset of primes p for which $\exists x_p$ such that $S_p(x) > 0$ for $x \geq x_p$. M.Drmota and M.Skalba [2] proved that only primes $p \in (1, 1000) \cap D$ are $3, 5, 17, 43, 257, 683$. In [4] we conjectured that if $p \in \mathbb{P} \backslash D$ then

$$S_p(2^p) = \pm p. \tag{1}$$

Below we prove this conjecture in the case of 2 is a primitive root of p (Theorem 1) and give a sufficient condition when (1) satisfies (Theorem 2).

2. Theorems

Theorem 1. *If* 2 *is a primitive root of* p *then* $S_p(2^p) = p$.

*Proof.*It is known (sf [2], formula (8) for $y = -1$) that

$$S_p(2^k) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - \omega_p^{l 2^j}), \tag{2}$$

where $\omega_p \neq 1$ is a primitive root of 1 of the power p. Since 2 is a primitive root of p then $2^j, \; j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, runs values $1, 2, \ldots, p-1, 1$ modulo p.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A63.

Therefore, by (2) we have

$$S_p(2^p)=\frac{1}{p}\sum_{l=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^l)\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^{lj}). \tag{3}$$

Nevertheless,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^{lj})=\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^{j}),\quad l\in[1,p-1],$$

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{p-1}\omega_p^l=-1+\sum_{l=0}^{p-1}\omega_p^l=-1.$$

Therefore, by (3)

$$S_p(2^p)=\frac{1}{p}\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^{lj})(p-(-1))=\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^{j}).$$

Finally, since

$$x^p-1=(x-1)\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(x-\omega_p^j)$$

then

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(x-\omega_p^j)=1+x+\ldots+x^{p-1}$$

and thus,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1-\omega_p^j)=p. \ \blacksquare$$

In [1] and [3] it was proved by different ways that, in particular,

$$0<S_3(n)\le n^{\frac{\ln 3}{2\ln 2}}.$$

Using methods of [3] and relations [4] it could be proved that

$$0<S_5(n)\le n^{\frac{\ln 5}{4\ln 2}}.$$

and

$$|S_7(n)| \le n^{\frac{\ln 7}{6\ln 2}}.$$

In the connection with these estimates it is actual the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *If for a prime* $p \ge 3$*,*

$$|S_p(n)| \le n^{\frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}}, \quad n \ge 2^p \tag{4}$$

and $p|S(2^p)$ *then* $S_p(2^p) = \pm p$*.*

Proof. We have

$$|S_p(2^p)| < 2^{\frac{p\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}} = p \cdot p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \tag{5}$$

Since $n < 2^{n-1}, \quad n \ge 3$, then by (5)

$$|S_p(2^p)| < 2p$$

and consequently $S_p(2^p) \in \{-p, 0, p\}$.

Nevertheless, the maximal multiple of p in $[0, 2^p)$ is $2^p - 2$. Thus, the number of the multiples of p in $[0, 2^p)$ is odd: $\frac{2(2^{p-1}-1)}{p} + 1$.

Therefore, $S_p(2^p) \ne 0$. ■

Note that, in [4] we conjectured that always $p|S_p(2^p)$. Moreover, at least, in the case when p has a primitive root 2 we now conjecture that together with $S_p(2^p) = p$ the relation $S_p(2^p x) = pS_p(2x)$ and the estimate (4) satisfy as well.

REFERENCES

[1] 1. J.Coquet, A summation formula related to the binary digits, *Invent. Math.***73**(1983),107-115.

[2] 2. M.Drmota and M.Skalba, Rarified sums of the Thue-Morse sequence,*Trans. of the AMS* .**352**, no.2 (1999), 609-642.

[3] 3. V.S.Shevelev, Two algorithms for exact evalution of the Newman digit sum and the sharp estimates,*arXiv* 0709.0885v1 [math.NT]

[4] 4. V.S.Shevelev, New digit results and several problems, arXiv 0709.3821 [math.NT]

DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL:SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL